Postel

NWG/RFC# 552 ADO 13-JUL-73 23:08 17809
Single Access to Standard Protocols

RECEIVED
AUG 1 1973

RFC #552
NIC #17809
References RFC's #539, #524, #486, #451

Buz Owen
SDAC-TIP
13 July 1793

Single access to Standard Protocols

Isn't the idea of a single access protocol simple enough that one could be specified, and a socket reserved for it, before the proposed mail protocol becomes official? The result would be that MP could be the first protocol implimented under UULP (or whatever it is to be called,) and the other protocols could be "moved" as soon as any problems in the official specifications could be worked out, and at the convenience of implimentors.

The single access protocol might have the following ccmmands:

USER
PASS
ACCT
MAIL
FTP
RJS
DRS (?)
HELP (?)
BYE

following Jim White's idea of nested command and reply spaces.

This doesn't address the question of "what is free", or of the interrelationships between the various protocols, but it doesn't make those problems any worse, only a little different.